

**TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO)
SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE**

| | |
|----------------------|--------------|
| Date: September 2011 | Surveyor: NG |
|----------------------|--------------|

| | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Tree details | | |
| TPO Ref: T2-544 | Tree/Group No: T4 | Species: Ash |
| Owner : Singh | | |
| Location: 36 Archers Road | | |

a) Condition & suitability for TPO:
Refer to Guidance Note for definitions

- 5) Good Highly suitable
- 3) Fair Suitable
- 1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable
- 0) Dead/dying/dangerous* Unsuitable

| |
|--------------------------|
| Score & Notes |
| 5 |

* relates to existing context & is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

b) Remaining longevity (in years) & suitability for TPO:

- 5) 100+ Highly suitable
- 4) 40-100 Very suitable
- 2) 20-40 Suitable
- 1) 10-20 Just suitable
- 0) <10* Unsuitable

| |
|--------------------------|
| Score & Notes |
| 4 |

* includes trees which are existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

- | | |
|--|---------------------|
| 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees | Highly suitable |
| 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public | Suitable |
| 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only | Suitable |
| 2) Small trees, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty | Barely suitable |
| 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size | Probably unsuitable |

| |
|--------------------------|
| Score & Notes |
| 3 |

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees
- 4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion
- 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance
- 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
- 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (incl. those of indifferent form)

| |
|--------------------------|
| Score & Notes |
| 1 |

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify

- 5) Immediate threat to tree
- 3) Foreseeable threat to tree
- 2) Perceived threat to tree
- 1) Precautionary only

| |
|--------------------------|
| Score & Notes |
| 5 |

Part 3: Decision guide

- | | |
|-------|-----------------------|
| Any 0 | Do not apply TPO |
| 1-6 | TPO indefensible |
| 7-11 | Does not merit TPO |
| 12-15 | TPO defensible |
| 16+ | Definitely merits TPO |

| |
|------------------------------|
| Add Scores for Total: |
| 18 |

| |
|------------------|
| Decision: |
| |